

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,644	10/20/2003	Ryoko Miyachi	60188-676	1793
7590 05/16/2006			EXAMINER	
Jack Q. Lever, Jr.			KIM, DANIEL Y	
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 Thirteenth Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-3096			2185	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed state SM (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Page 12 (1) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. S. 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits of closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Sposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121.	Examiner Daniel Kim D		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address— Priod for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be availabled under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Either the set of time may be availabled under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Either the set of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Either the set of the set of extended period for reply will, by 15 statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1.33). Any reply received by the Office light than the remaining date of this communication. End of the application is provided by the Office light than the remaining date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). ### Author of the second in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ### Sposition of Claims ### Application is FINAL. ### Application is accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ### Sposition of Claims ### Application is set of the provided in the application. ### Application is set of the set of the provided in the application. ### Application is set of the provided in the set of the provided in the set of the provided in above claim(s) is/are abjected to by the Examiner. ### Application Papers ### Provided In the provided Interest of the provided in the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner. ### Application Papers ### Application from the representation of the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ### Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121.111. ### Applicatio	Daniel Kim Danie		10/687,644	MIYACHI ET AL.	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—reirod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. If ND opend or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will explet SN (a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Provisional of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled or reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). **Eatus** 1) ★ Responsive to communication(s) filled on **O2 March 2006**. 2a) ★ This action is FINAL. **2b) ★ This action is non-final. 3) ★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle**, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Isoposition of Claims** 4) ★ Claim(s) **1-4.6-14 and 16-20** is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) **is/are allowed. 6) ★ Claim(s) **1-4.6-14 and 16-20** is/are rejected. 7) ★ Claim(s) **is/are objected to.** 8) ★ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ★ The drawing(s) filled on *20** October 2003* is/are: a) ★ accepted or b) ★ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.82(a). **Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — or Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, or consider of time have the provisions of 10 CFR 1.138(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timity field or SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Opend for reply sepcified above, the maintering date of this communication. Opend for reply septime the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. €, 133). Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 March 2006. This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. tion of Claims Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. The period provided in the provided that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.78(a). The odr or required from the maining date of this communication, with the provided provided that the provided pr	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of line may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Stx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire Stx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire Stx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire Stx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply the Style cause, cause the application to become adeAnDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. - Applicant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status - This action is FINAL. - 2b) This action is non-final. - 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Status of Claim(s) - Applicant of Claims - Applicant of Claims - Applicant of Claims (s) Is a state allowed. - Applicant of Claims (s) Is a state objected to by the Examiner. - Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s	OR REPLY ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ansiens of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be firmely filled for 15 (x) (9 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum attatutory period will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum attatutory period will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any need patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Responsive to communication(s) filled on 22 March 2006. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. tion of Claims Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Certified copies of the certified		Daniel Kim	2185	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.158(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). ### Automatication of Communication of Part 1.004(b). ### Automatication is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits it closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. ### Sposition of Claims ### Application of Claim(s)	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. risk (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Openidor repty is specified above, the mailing date of this communication. Openidor repty is specified above, the maining date of this communication. Openidor repty with the set or extended period for repty will, by statuto, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133). Responsive to communication(s) filled on <i>Q2 March 2006</i> . This action is FINAL. 2b □ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. tion of Claims Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Pro specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.35(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C.§ 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.§ 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 2 — Certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 March 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Poplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. tion of Claims Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. The papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory po - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the re	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. pplication Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. tion of Claims Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	atus			
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected do. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. pplication Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. tion of Claims Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) \(\text{ a)} \) accepted or b) \(\text{ objected to by the Examiner.} \) Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) \(\text{ Some * c} \) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. \(Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	02 March 200 <u>6</u> .		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion of Claims Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) \(\triangle \t		•		
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **pplication Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119** 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. pplication Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a)	closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4.6-14 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. pplication Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(1) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) ☒ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) \[\emptyseteq accepted or b) \[\emptyseteq objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) \[\emptyseteq Some * c) \[\emptyseteq None of: 1. \[\emptyseteq Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. \[\emptyseteq Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. \[\emptyseteq Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	sposition of Claims			
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. pplication Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) ☒ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) \[\begin{align*} \text{accepted or b} \begin{align*} \text{objected to by the Examiner.} \\ Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). DAI \[b\bigcircless Some * c) \bigcircless None of: 1. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. \[Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. \[Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-14 and 16-20</u> is/are pending	g in the application.		
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **pplication Papers** 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(1) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119** 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Ition Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. ***spplication Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **Triority under 35 U.S.C. § 119* 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) ☑ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. pplication Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☒ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		d.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1211 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		- 4/1 4i		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☒ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	na/or election requirement.	•	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	plication Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	,	·	·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). □ All b) Some * c) None of: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	-	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form 1 10-132.	
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	 All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	-	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	<u> </u>			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	_ , , ,			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			received in this National Stage	
det the attached detailed diffice action for a first of the definited deplets flot reconved.		• •	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received	
	nt(e)	dee the attached detailed office action for a	rist of the certified copies not	received.	
	nt(e)				

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: ____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/687,644 Page 2

Art Unit: 2185

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's communication filed March 2, 2006 in response to the PTO Office Action mailed December 2, 2005. The applicant's remarks and amendments to the claims and/or the specification were considered with the results that follow.
- 2. In response to the last Office Action, claims 1, 2, 6-12 and 16-20 have been amended, claims 5 and 15 has been cancelled, and no other claims have been added. Claims 1-4, 6-14 and 16-20 remain pending in this application.
- 3. The applicant's amendment filed on March 3, 2006 necessitates new grounds of rejection as presented below in this Office Action.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-14 and 16-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/687,644

Art Unit: 2185

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-2, 6-12 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jain et al (US PGPub No. 20030088744).

For claim 1, Jain discloses an information processing method comprising the steps of:

obtaining, among arithmetic instructions, information on data sets referred to by memory reference (data bytes comprise program instructions, whereby the processors fetch instructions from the memory module for execution, par. 0012), and

respectively assigning to different memory banks a plurality of data sets based on the information, each data set being simultaneously referred to in the same memory cycle by memory reference to be performed in accordance with an arithmetic instruction (memory banks operate independently, and processors can simultaneously access different banks of the memory module during the same clock cycle, par. 0013).

For claim 2, Jain discloses establishing bank assignment priority and the assignment to the memory banks is performed in sequence beginning with data sets that are high in priority (a flow control unit determines the priority of access by the processors, if a processor has a higher priority, the unit allows the processor to access the memory while another processor executes a wait state, par. 0020).

Claim 6 is rejected using the same rationale as for the rejection of claim 1 above.

Art Unit: 2185

For claim 7, Jain discloses the data sets that are specified to be assigned to the different memory banks are assigned first to the memory banks, then other data are assigned second to the memory banks (data bytes may comprise instructions in a program which are executed in sequence, and a processor would generally access different banks of the memory module after each cycle during program execution, but by synchronizing or staggering the processors to execute the program so that the processors access different memory banks in the same cycle, multiple processors can execute the same program stored in a memory module simultaneously, par. 0016).

Claim 8 is rejected using the same rationale as for the rejections of claims 2 and 6 above.

Claim 9 is rejected using the same rationale as for the rejection of claim 6 above.

Claim 10 is rejected using the same rationale as for the rejection of claim 7 above.

For claim 11, Jain discloses a first unit configured for obtaining information on a plurality of data sets each of which is simultaneously referred to in the same execution cycle by memory reference performed in accordance with an arithmetic instruction (a flow control unit synchronizes the processors to access different memory blocks, par. 0017), and

a second unit configured for assigning the data sets to different memory banks, respectively (the blocks of the memory each have control circuitry to appropriately direct data, par. 0015).

Art Unit: 2185

Claim 12 is rejected using the same rationale as for the rejections of claims 2 and 11 above.

Claim 16 is rejected using the same rationale as for the rejection of claim 11 above.

Claim 17 is rejected using the same rationale as for the rejection of claim 11 above.

Claim 18 is rejected using the same rationale as for the rejections of claims 12 and 17 above.

Claim 19 is rejected using the same rationale as for the rejection of claim 16 above.

Claim 20 is rejected using the same rationale as for the rejection of claim 17 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jain et al (US PGPub No. 20030088744) and Chin (US Patent No. 6,247,102).

For claim 3, Jain discloses the invention as per rejection of claim 2 above.

Jain fails to disclose the limitations of the current claim.

Chin, however, helps disclose the bank assignment priority is established according to a loop count that indicates the number of times the arithmetic instruction is executed repeatedly (a read/write data controller with a cache line read/write counter, in which when the last cache line is read from memory, the controller loads the cache line counter with the next count and the next set of memory reads or writes begins, col. 14, lines 23-48 and col. 14, lines 54-67 and col. 15, lines 1-15).

Jain and Chin are analogous art in that they are of the same field of endeavor, that is, a system and method for memory control, especially for the handling of a plurality of memory operations. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a loop count to indicate the number of times an instruction is executed because this allows the next set of memory reads to begin and determine when sufficient read data has been returned from memory so that access to the address/data bus may be moderated accordingly (col. 14, lines 41-44) as taught by Chin.

Claim 13 is rejected using the same rationale as for the rejections of claims 3 and 12 above.

9. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jain et al (US PGPub No. 20030088744) and Idleman (US Patent No. 5,371,855).

For claim 4, Jain discloses the invention as per rejection of claim 2 above.

Jain fails to disclose the limitations of the current claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,644

Art Unit: 2185

Idleman, however, helps disclose the bank assignment priority is established according to data-use frequency (a data processing system and cache buffer that may be structured so that a priority system is establish with respect to its frequency of use. The most frequently used information would receive the highest priority and the least frequently used information would receive the lowest priority, col. 2, lines 64-68 and col. 3, lines 1-2).

Jain and Idleman are analogous art in that they are of the same field of endeavor, that is, a system and method for memory management, especially for optimizing bus data transfers. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to sort bank assignment priority by data-use frequency, because this would allow for rapid access of priority information and enhance system performance (col. 2, lines 56-58), as taught by Idleman.

Claim 14 is rejected using the same rationale as for the rejections of claims 4 and 12 above.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/687,644 Page 8

Art Unit: 2185

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

11. Any inquiries concerning this action or earlier actions from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Kim, reachable at 571-272-2742, on Mon-Fri from 8:30am-5pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan, is also reachable at 571-272-4210.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information from published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. All questions regarding access to the Private PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC), reachable at 866-217-9197.

5-8-06

PIERRE VITAL
PRIMARY EXAMINER